



Internal Coherence Assessment Protocol

Traditionally, school improvement strategy focuses on providing underperforming schools with instructional interventions designed to increase student learning. What we fail to acknowledge with this approach is that struggling schools often lack the ability to summon the organizational response necessary for an intervention to affect student learning in all classrooms, over time. Without this organizational capacity, not even the most powerful intervention will result in improved outcomes at the school level. External pressure to improve or the threat of sanctions will similarly fail to drive improvements in schools unable to harness the collective resources of the organization in the service of achieving collective goals.

The Internal Coherence project is anchored in an assessment process that generates a profile of a given school's existing capacity to engage in deliberate improvements in instructional practice and student learning across classrooms. The ICAP is designed to provide school leadership, and potentially system-level supervisors, with a structured body of information about a school's capacity on each of the three dimensions of Internal Coherence: leadership focused on the support for instructional practice, individual and collective efficacy beliefs, and whole school and team-level organizational structures and processes. ICAP data profiles are designed to drive professional development supports tailored to the particular needs of an individual school, and guided by the provisional causal order which underlies the work.

The ICAP consists of a survey of teachers, a series of protocols for interviews and focus groups with teachers and principals, and a brief protocol for classroom observations. They are all designed to support the growth described below.

We ask schools to improve as organizations.

But do we help schools function as organizations?

Integrating current research, SERP offers schools pathways to improvement.

instructional supports / PD

Organizational Individual and Collective Leadership Practice Student Achievement **Processes Efficacy Beliefs** Articulate Structures in place Individual efficacy Broaden instructional focus instructional linked to classroom Instructional and vision repertoires behaviors mandate (vertical Model public accountability) Normative press Collective efficacy a strong predictor learning increases Attention to expectation of of whole-school Create environment processes achievement success, supportive of risk-Explicit focus on perseverance taking (psychological group Interplay growth safety) commitments individual and Focus on structures, collective efficacy processes and beliefs content of team work Examine available